

Proteinuria as a Therapeutic Target in Patients with Chronic Kidney Disease

Biff F. Palmer

Department of Medicine, Division of Nephrology, University of Texas Southwestern Medical School, Dallas, Tex., USA

Key Words

Proteinuria, targeting · Chronic kidney disease · Antiproteinuric therapy

Abstract

Patients excreting large amounts of urinary protein, who are otherwise deemed to be optimally treated, should still be considered at high risk for renal disease progression. The observation that reductions in urinary protein excretion, in a graded fashion over a relatively short period of time, correlate with long-term preservation of renal function supports the idea of using urinary protein excretion as a guide to implementation of renoprotective therapies. The association between residual proteinuria and renal outcomes suggests that minimization of proteinuria is an important therapeutic goal in the management of proteinuric chronic kidney disease patients. This article reviews the evidence for using proteinuria as a target for the implementation of therapies shown to have renal protective effects.

Copyright © 2007 S. Karger AG, Basel

Preserving renal function is of paramount importance in the management of patients with chronic kidney disease. Current guidelines suggest lowering blood pressure to <130/80 mm Hg with a regimen containing a renin-angiotensin system blocker is an important part of this strategy. In patients who meet these guidelines,

it would be useful to have a readily measurable marker confirming that existing therapy is indeed optimal for long-term renal preservation. Should evidence suggest otherwise, this marker could also serve as a guide for the implementation and titration of additional strategies designed to maximize renoprotection. The measurement of urinary protein excretion has emerged as a useful tool for this purpose. Patients excreting large amounts of urinary protein who are otherwise deemed to be optimally treated should still be considered at high risk for renal disease progression. Additional measures that decrease urinary protein excretion will reduce this risk. Maximal reduction in urinary protein excretion should be a therapeutic goal in the overall strategy to preserve renal function in patients with proteinuric chronic kidney disease.

Proteinuria can be viewed as a marker of glomerular disease with increasing amounts of urinary protein reflecting a greater degree of glomerular injury. In addition, proteinuria has been shown to play a more direct role in renal disease progression by causing tubular injury as it passes down the lumen [1]. Tubular epithelial cells exposed to plasma proteins release a variety of chemoattractants, proinflammatory cytokines, and extracellular matrix proteins, all of which can result in interstitial inflammation and fibrosis. Proteinuria may provide a link for development of tubulointerstitial disease in settings where the pathologic process primarily is directed toward the glomerulus [2].

KARGER

Fax +41 61 306 12 34
E-Mail karger@karger.ch
www.karger.com

© 2007 S. Karger AG, Basel
0250-8095/07/0273-0287\$23.50/0

Accessible online at:
www.karger.com/ajn

Biff F. Palmer, MD
Department of Medicine, Division of Nephrology
University of Texas Southwestern Medical School
5323 Harry Hines Blvd., Dallas, TX 75390-8856 (USA)
Tel. +1 214 648 7848, Fax +1 214 648 2071, E-Mail biff.palmer@utsouthwestern.edu

The idea of proteinuria playing a role in renal disease progression and serving as a marker in which to guide the implementation of antiproteinuric therapy is supported by three lines of evidence. First, the magnitude of baseline proteinuria is consistently predictive of renal outcomes. Prospective trials of both diabetic and non-diabetic chronic kidney disease have shown a strong relationship between higher baseline proteinuria and a more rapid rate of decline in glomerular filtration rate [3–6]. Second, the degree to which proteinuria is reduced following initiation of therapy is strongly predictive of long-term outcome. Those patients with the greatest decline in urinary protein excretion have the best renal outcomes. In the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease study a tight association was found between the decrease in proteinuria and decreased rate of decline in glomerular filtration rate in the low blood pressure group [7]. For every 1-g/day reduction in proteinuria at 4 months, the subsequent decline in glomerular filtration rate was slowed by 1 ml/min/year. Similar results were found in the Ramapril Efficacy In Nephropathy study where for every 1.0-g/day reduction in proteinuria at 3 months of angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitor treatment, the decline in glomerular filtration rate adjusted for the baseline value slowed by 2.0 ml/min/year [5, 8]. A reduction in albuminuria was the single most important predictor of preserved renal function in the Reduction of Endpoints in Non-Insulin Dependent Diabetes Mellitus with the Angiotensin II Antagonist Losartan (RENAAL) study. For each 50% decrease in urinary albumin excretion in the first 6 months, the risk of end-stage renal disease was decreased by 45% [4]. Third, the magnitude of proteinuria that remains after treatment initiation or residual proteinuria is proportionally associated with renal risk. In a meta-analysis of 1,860 patients with non-diabetic renal disease for each 1.0 g/day of protein remaining after the start of treatment, the risk of renal disease progression was increased more than fivefold [9]. In the RENAAL trial, the quantity of remaining proteinuria during treatment at 6 months was strongly associated with the subsequent rate of decline in renal function. This relationship was the same whether patients were receiving losartan or placebo suggesting additional suppression of proteinuria through other means could be of benefit [4].

The evidence cited above linking proteinuria and renal disease outcomes is associative. There are no prospective trials in proteinuric chronic kidney disease patients in which urinary protein excretion has been specifically targeted to two different levels in order to determine whether the lower value is associated with a better renal outcome. Nevertheless, the consistency in the literature

regarding proteinuria and renal outcomes is particularly strong. The observation that reductions in urinary protein excretion in a graded fashion over a relatively short period of time correlate with long-term preservation of renal function support the idea of using urinary protein excretion as a guide to implementation of renoprotective therapies. The association between residual proteinuria and renal outcomes suggest that minimization of proteinuria is an important therapeutic goal in the management of proteinuric chronic kidney disease patients. In addition to being a marker of renal risk, treatment-induced reductions in proteinuria also correlate with a reduction in cardiovascular risk. For each 50% reduction in albuminuria in the RENAAL trial, there was an 18% reduction in cardiovascular risk and a 27% reduction in the risk for heart failure [10].

Monitoring Urine Protein Excretion

The upper limit of normal for total urinary protein excretion is 150 mg/24 h. The majority of this protein consists of systemically derived small molecular weight proteins filtered by the glomerulus and proteins derived from the renal tubules and lower urinary tract. The normal amount of albumin excretion is <30 mg/day (<20 μ g/min). Persistent albumin excretion between 30 and 300 mg/day (20–200 μ g/min) is considered microalbuminuria. Values >300 mg/day are considered overt proteinuria or macroalbuminuria. At this level of excretion the standard urinary dipstick is positive and the bulk of urinary protein excretion is composed of albumin.

A variety of collection methods have been utilized for the measurement of urinary albumin excretion (table 1). Timed collections, either overnight (8–12 h) or 24 h, are the most sensitive assays. Since precisely timed urine collections are often impractical and inconvenient for many patients, the preferred method of measurement is to obtain a spot urine albumin:creatinine ratio. Preferably this ratio should be measured from values obtained from a first morning urine sample, otherwise a random sample may be used. Semiquantitative dipsticks specific for albumin are available, however, are subject to error as a result of variations in urine concentration caused by hydration status. The relatively constant excretion of creatinine throughout the day enables the albumin:creatinine ratio to overcome this limitation.

The total protein:creatinine ratio will give similar results and can be substituted for the albumin:creatinine ratio in patients with an albumin:creatinine ratio of

Table 1. Classification and measurement of urinary protein

	24-hour urine albumin mg/24 h	Timed overnight albumin μg/min	Spot albumin to creatinine ratio, mg/g	Spot total protein to creatinine ratio mg/mg
Normal	<30	<20	<30	<0.15 (equivalent to <150 mg/24 h) ^a
Microalbuminuria	30–300	20–200	30–300	<0.15–0.3 ^b
Macroalbuminuria	>300	>200	>300	>0.3

^a There is a linear relationship between the total protein:creatinine ratio and 24-hour total protein excretion. For example, a ratio of 1.75 is predictive of 1,750 mg total protein/24 h.

^b The total protein:creatinine ratio can be normal (<0.15 or <150 mg/day) when albumin excretion is in the microalbuminuric range. Consider a patient with a 24-hour urine total protein excretion of 100 mg of which 10 mg is comprised of albumin. If albumin excretion increases to 50 mg/day the total 24-hour protein excretion will increase to 140 mg which is still within normal limits. Once urine albumin excretion exceeds 300 mg/24 h either the albumin:creatinine or the total protein:creatinine ratio can be used to monitor antiproteinuric therapy.

>500–1,000 mg/g which corresponds to >500–1,000 mg/day. However, the albumin:creatinine ratio should be used in the initial quantification of urinary protein excretion because albumin is a more sensitive marker than total protein in the early stages of chronic kidney disease due to diabetes, hypertension and glomerular diseases. The total protein:creatinine ratio may be within normal limits even though urinary albumin excretion has crossed into the microalbuminuric range.

Interventions to Decrease Urinary Protein Excretion

The antiproteinuric therapies summarized below have proven efficacy and are readily available for clinical use. Table 2 lists other drugs shown to reduce urinary protein excretion that are undergoing investigation in clinical trials.

Stringent Blood Pressure Control

The initial step in reducing urinary protein excretion in chronic kidney disease patients is to establish and maintain stringent blood pressure control. Blood pressure reduction per se will exert an antiproteinuric effect even when accomplished with agents not typically deemed to be renoprotective. In an older study of hypertensive type I diabetics with nephropathy, a regimen of furosemide, hydralazine, and metoprolol reduced urinary protein excretion and provided a progressive reduction in

Table 2. Drugs that reduce urinary protein excretion, undergoing clinical trials

Endothelin A selective antagonist
Paricalcitol (vitamin D analog)
Sulodexide (glycosaminoglycan)
Pentoxifylline (Anti-TNF properties)
Ruboxistaurin (PKC β1 isoform inhibitor)
Dipyridamole (adenosine reuptake inhibitor)

the rate of renal function loss over the course of 9 years of therapy [11]. Current guidelines suggest blood pressure should be lowered to <130/80 mm Hg in patients with chronic kidney disease [12]. An even lower systolic pressure may be of benefit in slowing progressive renal disease in patients with a spot urine total protein:creatinine ratio of >0.5–1 mg/mg [6, 7, 9]. Those with lesser amounts of proteinuria derive no additional benefit. There is evidence that lowering systolic blood pressure to <110 mm Hg should be avoided as there may be a higher risk of kidney disease progression [13]. The precise reason for this adverse effect is unclear but may relate to an impaired autoregulatory response of a diseased kidney to decreases in systemic blood pressure [14]. A systolic pressure of <110 mm Hg is also of concern in those patients with underlying cardiovascular disease.

Blood pressure control should be centered around a renin-angiotensin system antagonist since these agents consistently demonstrate an antiproteinuric effect that is greater than what can be explained by blood pressure re-

duction alone. Either an ACE inhibitor or an angiotensin receptor blocker can be utilized for this purpose as there is no convincing evidence that one drug class exerts a greater antiproteinuric effect compared to the other at comparable doses and similarly controlled blood pressure. When blood pressure is not yet at goal, non-dihydropyridine calcium channel blockers should be considered since these agents will add to the antiproteinuric effect of renin-angiotensin blockers [15, 16]. In a subgroup analysis of the Captopril Collaborative Multicenter Study, 7/42 patients with nephrotic range proteinuria treated with captopril had remission of proteinuria as compared to 1/66 patients in the control group during the follow-up period of 3.5 years [17]. In addition to treatment with the ACE inhibitor, those achieving remission had a lower mean systolic blood pressure (126 vs. 145 mm Hg) suggesting a synergy between stringent blood pressure control and blockade of the renin-angiotensin system. The remission was sustained in most of the patients over a subsequent 7-year follow-up [18].

Restrict Dietary Sodium and Use Effective Diuretic Therapy

A high dietary sodium intake is associated with worsening urinary protein excretion whereas sodium restriction reduces proteinuria [19–21]. This antiproteinuric effect is likely the result of decreases in blood pressure but there is also evidence of favorable effects on renal hemodynamics [22, 23]. Restricting dietary salt intake to 80–110 mmol/day is a useful goal. Compliance can be verified by measuring urinary sodium in a 24-hour urine collection.

Sodium restriction is of particular relevance for patients taking ACE inhibitors, angiotensin receptor blockers, and non-dihydropyridine calcium channel blockers since increased sodium intake can abolish the antiproteinuric effect of these drugs. By contrast, sodium restriction augments the antiproteinuric effect of these agents and to an extent that cannot be accounted for by blood pressure reduction alone [24–26]. Effective diuretic therapy also enhances the antiproteinuric effect of renin-angiotensin blockers and can restore the antiproteinuric effect lost under conditions of high sodium intake [25, 27]. Thiazide diuretics are useful for this purpose until the estimated glomerular filtration rate falls to <30 ml/min at which point loop diuretics should be utilized. A combination of salt restriction and effective diuretic therapy will reduce urinary protein excretion to a greater extent than either intervention alone.

Avoid High Dietary Protein Intake

Despite inconsistent results in individual trials, a meta-analysis examining the renal protective effect of dietary protein restriction suggests this therapy is effective in slowing the progression of both diabetic and non-diabetic renal diseases [28]. None of these trials have specifically examined the role of dietary protein restriction as an adjunct to other antiproteinuric strategies as currently proposed. Dietary protein restriction exerts an antiproteinuric effect that is greatest in those with high baseline values of urinary protein and is additive to that of an ACE inhibitor [29, 30]. Protein restriction to 0.8 g/kg body weight is reasonable and is usually not accompanied by negative nitrogen balance. Compliance can be verified by measuring urea excretion in a 24-hour urine collection. High dietary protein intake can attenuate the antiproteinuric effect of renin-angiotensin blockade and should be avoided.

Use Moderate to High Doses of Renin-Angiotensin Blockers

The Working Group of the National Kidney Foundation Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative (K/DOQI) recommend that moderate to high doses of ACE inhibitors or angiotensin blockers be used in chronic kidney disease patients [31]. Higher doses of these agents generally exert greater antiproteinuric effects even when there is no further change in systemic blood pressure. Although not yet recommended, small studies have employed supra-therapeutic doses of angiotensin receptor blockers in an attempt to determine the ceiling at which no further reductions in urinary protein occur. Doses of up to 900 mg/day of irbesartan and 64 mg/day of candesartan provide further blood pressure-independent drops in urinary protein excretion in the absence of significant side effects [32, 33].

Combined Use of Renin-Angiotensin-Aldosterone Blockers

There are numerous small trials demonstrating an additive antiproteinuric effect of ACE inhibitors and angiotensin receptor blockers used together [34]. In many of these studies blood pressure is lower in the combination groups making it difficult to establish whether the benefit is due to the drug combination per se or simply better blood pressure control. In one long-term study a combi-

nation of trandolapril and losartan reduced urinary protein excretion to a greater extent than either drug used alone and in a setting where a reduction in blood pressure was similar [35]. Importantly renal function was better preserved in the combination group. It is not clear whether the combination of an ACE inhibitor and angiotensin receptor blocker is more renoprotective as compared to either of the individual agents used alone but at high doses. In patients with inadequate blood pressure control the combination may be preferred so as to capitalize on the blood pressure-lowering effect of the drugs. Limited evidence suggests the addition of spironolactone or eplerenone to either an ACE inhibitor or an angiotensin receptor blocker can further reduce urinary protein excretion and by an amount not accounted for by blood pressure reduction alone [36].

Statin Therapy

Lipid-lowering therapy with statins exerts an anti-proteinuric effect. In a meta-analysis of 15 randomized trials involving 1,384 patients statins reduced albuminuria by 48 and 47% in those with baseline albuminuria of between 30–299 and >300 mg/day, respectively [37]. A previously published meta-analysis suggests this effect is accompanied by a significant decrease in the rate of renal function loss [38]. The antiproteinuric effect of statins is additive to that seen with renin-angiotensin blockers. The optimal dose for antiproteinuric therapy and whether or not there is a class effect has not been well studied.

Discontinue Cigarette Smoking

Smoking is associated with a worsening of urinary protein excretion and faster progression of chronic kidney disease of all types [39]. Epidemiologic studies have identified smoking as a risk factor for the development of microalbuminuria in otherwise healthy individuals.

Weight Loss

An increased body mass index is an independent risk factor for the development of chronic kidney disease [40]. Obesity is accompanied by changes in renal hemodynamics that give rise to increased intraglomerular pressure possibly accounting for the higher risk of focal and

Table 3. Clinically available strategies to lower urinary protein excretion^a

Lower systolic blood pressure to between 110 and 130 mm Hg systolic (avoid systolic pressures of <110 mm Hg) ^b
Pharmacologic therapy should be centered around use of ACE inhibitor or angiotensin receptor blocker
Restrict dietary sodium to <5 g/day
Restrict dietary protein to 0.8 g/kg body weight
Use effective diuretic therapy (loop diuretics required when estimated glomerular filtration rate <30 ml/min)
Add non-dihydropyridine calcium channel blocker as a third agent after renin-angiotensin blocker and diuretic when blood pressure is not at goal
Maximize dose of renin-angiotensin blocker
Use a combination of ACE inhibitor and angiotensin receptor blocker ^c
Add an aldosterone antagonist to either ACE inhibitor or angiotensin receptor blocker but not both ^c
Statin therapy: titrate dose to control LDL cholesterol according to guidelines. If not dyslipidemic use starting dose of preferred statin
Discontinue smoking
Weight loss

^a The sequence in which various strategies are instituted will vary according to the medications the patient is taking at the time antiproteinuric therapy is initiated.

^b Stringent blood pressure control can be associated with an increase in the serum creatinine concentration. A 30% increase over the baseline value that is stable thereafter does not reflect structural injury to the kidney but rather is a functional change reflecting favorable effects on renal hemodynamics and in particular a lowering of intraglomerular pressure [14].

^c The serum potassium should be checked within 1–2 weeks of starting an ACE inhibitor or an angiotensin receptor blocker or when using these drugs in combination in chronic kidney disease patients. The risk of hyperkalemia is low when adding an aldosterone receptor blocker when renal function is normal but markedly increases with reductions in estimated glomerular filtration rate and should be avoided at an estimated glomerular filtration rate of <30 ml/min. Steps to reduce the likelihood of hyperkalemia in the setting of renin-angiotensin-aldosterone blockade have been the subject of a recent review [43].

segmental glomerulosclerosis. Weight reduction leads to improvements in renal hemodynamics and is accompanied by a decrease in urinary protein excretion [41].

Implementation of Antiproteinuric Therapy

The K/DOQI working group recommends reducing proteinuria as a goal of therapy in both diabetic and non-diabetic chronic kidney disease patients with a spot urine

total protein:creatinine of >0.5–1 mg/mg [31]. Assessing the effectiveness of antiproteinuric therapy at lower ratios is likely to be more difficult due to intra-subject variability in proteinuria. Nevertheless, in the AASK trial the benefit on renal disease progression from the initial reduction in proteinuria at 6 months extended to those subjects with baseline protein excretion of <300 mg/day [42]. It is reasonable to monitor urinary protein excretion on a 3- to 6-month basis after having established a baseline value. The initial value allows one to determine the current risk for renal disease progression and the need for implementation of antiproteinuric therapies. Subsequent measurements gauge the effectiveness of the therapy employed as well as guide further titration when needed (table 3).

While a reasonable goal of therapy is a total protein:creatinine ratio of <0.5 mg/mg, remission of proteinuria is achievable even in patients otherwise considered to have irreversible and progressive chronic kidney disease. In prospective cohort studies from the Steno Diabetes Center, remission of nephrotic range proteinuria was induced in 28 of 126 (22%) type I diabetics and 20/79 (25%) type II diabetics with nephropathy (defined as albuminuria of <600 mg/24 h for at least 1 year) [44, 45]. Most of these patients received ACE inhibitor therapy and those achieving remission had a significantly lower mean arterial blood pressure as compared to those without remission. The serum cholesterol was also lower in those achieving remission likely secondary to the reduction in proteinuria since the use of lipid-lowering drugs was similar to that in the non-remission group. Remission was associated with a risk reduction of 67% for reaching the composite end point of end-stage renal disease and death, and

69% for death alone. In both studies there was no effort to restrict dietary sodium or protein and only a minority of subjects received statins. In addition, >50% of subjects were smokers [46]. It is possible that an even greater remission rate may have been achieved had greater attention been paid to these other antiproteinuric strategies.

Therapies titrated to urinary protein excretion can be a useful adjunct in patients with readily treated conditions such as lupus nephritis and various other glomerular diseases [47, 48]. In the absence of active immunologic injury this strategy has been shown to cause remission and in some instances normalization of what was initially nephrotic range proteinuria.

Proteinuria is a readily measurable tool that can be used as a target for the implementation of therapies shown to have renal protective effects. In those instances where a patient may already be receiving one or more of these treatments, one should reassess the patient in the context of the current total protein:creatinine ratio. Depending on the value a determination can be made as to whether existing therapies can be further maximized or whether additional ones need to be added. The patient should be informed of the result and educated as to the importance of lowering the value. It is conceivable that knowledge of the ratio and knowing whether the value is increasing or decreasing could prove to be a motivating factor for patient compliance in a manner analogous to the interest patients express in knowing their lipid values. Encouraging patient involvement in their care is an important part of the strategy to address the growing burden of chronic kidney disease.

References

- 1 Abbate M, Zoja C, Remuzzi G: How does proteinuria cause progressive renal damage? *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2006;17:2974–2984.
- 2 Palmer BF: The renal tubule in the progression of chronic renal failure. *J Investig Med* 1997;45:346–361.
- 3 Atkins RC, Briganti EM, Lewis JB, et al: Proteinuria reduction and progression to renal failure in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and overt nephropathy. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2005;45:281–287.
- 4 de Zeeuw D, Remuzzi G, Parving HH, et al: Proteinuria, a target for renoprotection in patients with type 2 diabetic nephropathy: lessons from RENAAL. *Kidney Int* 2004;65:2309–2320.
- 5 Ruggenti P, Perna A, Remuzzi G: Retarding progression of chronic renal disease: the neglected issue of residual proteinuria. *Kidney Int* 2003;63:2254–2261.
- 6 Wright JT Jr, Bakris G, Greene T, et al: Effect of blood pressure lowering and antihypertensive drug class on progression of hypertensive kidney disease: results from the AASK trial. *JAMA* 2002;288:2421–2431.
- 7 Peterson JC, Adler S, Burkart JM, et al: Blood pressure control, proteinuria, and the progression of renal disease. The Modification of Diet in Renal Disease Study. *Ann Intern Med* 1995;123:754–762.
- 8 Wilmer WA, Rovin BH, Hebert CJ, Rao S, Kumor K, Hebert L: Management of glomerular proteinuria: a commentary. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2003;14:3217–3232.
- 9 Jafar TH, Stark PC, Schmid CH, et al: Proteinuria as a modifiable risk factor for the progression of non-diabetic renal disease. *Kidney Int* 2001;60:1131–1140.
- 10 de Zeeuw D, Remuzzi G, Parving HH, et al: Albuminuria, a therapeutic target for cardiovascular protection in type 2 diabetic patients with nephropathy. *Circulation* 2004;110:921–927.
- 11 Parving HH, Smidt UM, Hommel E, et al: Effective antihypertensive treatment postpones renal insufficiency in diabetic nephropathy. *Am J Kidney Dis* 1993;22:188–195.

- 12 Chobanian AV, Bakris GL, Black HR, et al: The Seventh Report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure: the JNC 7 report. *JAMA* 2003;289:2560–2572.
- 13 Jafar TH, Stark PC, Schmid CH, et al: Progression of chronic kidney disease: the role of blood pressure control, proteinuria, and angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibition: a patient-level meta-analysis. *Ann Intern Med* 2003;139:244–252.
- 14 Palmer BF: Renal dysfunction complicating the treatment of hypertension. *N Engl J Med* 2002;347:1256–1261.
- 15 Bakris GL, Weir MR, Secic M, Campbell B, Weis-McNulty A: Differential effects of calcium antagonist subclasses on markers of nephropathy progression. *Kidney Int* 2004;65:1991–2002.
- 16 Bakris GL, Weir MR, DeQuattro V, McMahon FG: Effects of an ACE inhibitor/calcium antagonist combination on proteinuria in diabetic nephropathy. *Kidney Int* 1998;54:1283–1289.
- 17 Hebert LA, Bain RP, Verme D, et al: Remission of nephrotic range proteinuria in type I diabetes. Collaborative Study Group. *Kidney Int* 1994;46:1688–1693.
- 18 Wilmer WA, Hebert LA, Lewis EJ, et al: Remission of nephrotic syndrome in type 1 diabetes: long-term follow-up of patients in the Captopril Study. *Am J Kidney Dis* 1999;34:308–314.
- 19 Swift PA, Markandu ND, Sagnella GA, He FJ, MacGregor GA: Modest salt reduction reduces blood pressure and urine protein excretion in black hypertensives: a randomized control trial. *Hypertension* 2005;46:308–312.
- 20 Jones-Burton C, Mishra SI, Fink JC, et al: An in-depth review of the evidence linking dietary salt intake and progression of chronic kidney disease. *Am J Nephrol* 2006;26:268–275.
- 21 Mishra SI, Jones-Burton C, Fink JC, Brown J, Bakris GL, Weir MR: Does dietary salt increase the risk for progression of kidney disease? *Curr Hypertens Rep* 2005;7:385–391.
- 22 Bakris GL, Weir MR: Salt intake and reductions in arterial pressure and proteinuria. Is there a direct link? *Am J Hypertens* 1996;9:200S–206S.
- 23 Weir MR: Is it the low-protein diet or simply the salt restriction? *Kidney Int* 2007;71:188–190.
- 24 Heeg JE, de Jong PE, van der Hem GK, de Zeeuw D: Efficacy and variability of the antiproteinuric effect of ACE inhibition by lisinopril. *Kidney Int* 1989;36:272–279.
- 25 Buter H, Hemmelder MH, Navis G, de Jong PE, de Zeeuw D: The blunting of the antiproteinuric efficacy of ACE inhibition by high sodium intake can be restored by hydrochlorothiazide. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 1998;13:1682–1685.
- 26 Bakris GL, Smith A: Effects of sodium intake on albumin excretion in patients with diabetic nephropathy treated with long-acting calcium antagonists. *Ann Intern Med* 1996;125:201–204.
- 27 Esnault VL, Ekhlash A, Delcroix C, Moutel MG, Nguyen JM: Diuretic and enhanced sodium restriction results in improved antiproteinuric response to RAS blocking agents. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2005;16:474–481.
- 28 Pedrini MT, Levey AS, Lau J, Chalmers TC, Wang PH: The effect of dietary protein restriction on the progression of diabetic and nondiabetic renal diseases: a meta-analysis. *Ann Intern Med* 1996;124:627–632.
- 29 Gansevoort RT, de Zeeuw D, de Jong PE: Additive antiproteinuric effect of ACE inhibition and a low-protein diet in human renal disease. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 1995;10:497–504.
- 30 Ruilope LM, Casal MC, Praga M, et al: Additive antiproteinuric effect of converting enzyme inhibition and a low protein intake. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 1992;3:1307–1311.
- 31 K/DOQI clinical practice guidelines on hypertension and antihypertensive agents in chronic kidney disease. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2004;43:S1–290.
- 32 Rossing K, Schjoedt KJ, Jensen BR, Boomsma F, Parving HH: Enhanced renoprotective effects of ultrahigh doses of irbesartan in patients with type 2 diabetes and microalbuminuria. *Kidney Int* 2005;68:1190–1198.
- 33 Schmieder RE, Klingbeil AU, Fleischmann EH, Veelken R, Delles C: Additional antiproteinuric effect of ultrahigh dose candesartan: a double-blind, randomized, prospective study. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2005;16:3038–3045.
- 34 Wolf G, Ritz E: Combination therapy with ACE inhibitors and angiotensin II receptor blockers to halt progression of chronic renal disease: pathophysiology and indications. *Kidney Int* 2005;67:799–812.
- 35 Nakao N, Yoshimura A, Morita H, Takada M, Kayano T, Ideura T: Combination treatment of angiotensin-II receptor blocker and angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitor in non-diabetic renal disease (COOPER-ATE): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2003;361:117–124.
- 36 Vecchio L, Procaccio M, Vigano S, Cusi D: Mechanisms of disease: the role of aldosterone in kidney damage and clinical benefits of its blockade. *Nature Clin Practice Nephrol* 2007;3:42–49.
- 37 Douglas K, O'Malley PG, Jackson JL: Meta-analysis: the effect of statins on albuminuria. *Ann Intern Med* 2006;145:117–124.
- 38 Sandhu S, Wiebe N, Fried LF, Tonelli M: Statins for improving renal outcomes: a meta-analysis. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2006;17:2006–2016.
- 39 Halimi JM, Giraudeau B, Vol S, et al: Effects of current smoking and smoking discontinuation on renal function and proteinuria in the general population. *Kidney Int* 2000;58:1285–1292.
- 40 Hsu CY, McCulloch CE, Iribarren C, Darbinian J, Go AS: Body mass index and risk for end-stage renal disease. *Ann Intern Med* 2006;144:21–28.
- 41 Navarro-Diaz M, Serra A, Romero R, et al: Effect of drastic weight loss after bariatric surgery on renal parameters in extremely obese patients: long-term follow-up. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2006;17:S213–S217.
- 42 Lea J, Greene T, Hebert L, et al: The relationship between the magnitude of proteinuria reduction and risk of end-stage renal disease. *Arch Intern Med* 2006;165:947–953.
- 43 Palmer BF: Managing hyperkalemia caused by inhibitors of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system. *N Engl J Med* 2004;351:585–592.
- 44 Hovind P, Rossing P, Tarnow L, Toft H, Parving J, Parving HH: Remission of nephrotic-range albuminuria in type 1 diabetic patients. *Diabetes Care* 2001;24:1972–1977.
- 45 Rossing K, Christensen PK, Hovind P, Parving HH: Remission of nephrotic-range albuminuria reduces risk of end-stage renal disease and improves survival in type 2 diabetic patients. *Diabetologia* 2005;48:2241–2247.
- 46 Hovind P, Tarnow L, Rossing P, Carstensen B, Parving HH: Improved survival in patients obtaining remission of nephrotic range albuminuria in diabetic nephropathy. *Kidney Int* 2004;66:1180–1186.
- 47 Ruggenenti P, Schieppati A, Remuzzi G: Progression, remission, regression of chronic renal diseases. *Lancet* 2001;357:1601–1608.
- 48 de Jong PE, Navis G, de ZD: Renoprotective therapy: titration against urinary protein excretion. *Lancet* 1999;354:352–353.